UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,976 | 05/31/2006 | Jens Foegler | 03/102 K | 9269 |
| 38263 PROPAT, L.L. | 7590 08/03/201 C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 425-C SOUTH | SHARON AMITY RO | JACOBSON, MICHELE LYNN | | |
| CHARLUTTE, | NC 28211-2841 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1782 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/03/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/580,976 | FOEGLER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Michele L. Jacobson | 1782 | | |

| | Michele L. Jacobson | 1702 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavital (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejectio FFIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. LED WITHIN TWC |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT N); | ΓE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, 27 and 31 previously considered and therefore require further | <i>resent new limitations or combinati</i> <i>search and consideration</i> . (See 37 | ons of limitations whic 7 CFR 1.116 and 41.3 | 3(a)). |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpilant Amendment (i | ³ 10L-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4 and 6-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ——. | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782 | /M. L. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 1782 | | |